

Modeling for Stimulus Generation EZ-Start Guide

August 2006

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 1995-2006 Cadence Design Systems, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.

Cadence Design Systems, Inc., 555 River Oaks Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134, USA

Trademarks: Trademarks and service marks of Cadence Design Systems, Inc. (Cadence) contained in this document are attributed to Cadence with the appropriate symbol. For queries regarding Cadence's trademarks, contact the corporate legal department at the address shown above or call 800.862.4522.

All other trademarks are the property of their respective holders.

Restricted Print Permission: This publication is protected by copyright and any unauthorized use of this publication may violate copyright, trademark, and other laws. Except as specified in this permission statement, this publication may not be copied, reproduced, modified, published, uploaded, posted, transmitted, or distributed in any way, without prior written permission from Cadence. This statement grants you permission to print one (1) hard copy of this publication subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The publication may be used solely for personal, informational, and noncommercial purposes;
- 2. The publication may not be modified in any way;
- 3. Any copy of the publication or portion thereof must include all original copyright, trademark, and other proprietary notices and this permission statement; and
- 4. Cadence reserves the right to revoke this authorization at any time, and any such use shall be discontinued immediately upon written notice from Cadence.

Disclaimer: Information in this publication is subject to change without notice and does not represent a commitment on the part of Cadence. The information contained herein is the proprietary and confidential information of Cadence or its licensors, and is supplied subject to, and may be used only by Cadence's customer in accordance with, a written agreement between Cadence and its customer. Except as may be explicitly set forth in such agreement, Cadence does not make, and expressly disclaims, any representations or warranties as to the completeness, accuracy or usefulness of the information contained in this document. Cadence does not warrant that use of such information will not infringe any third party rights, nor does Cadence assume any liability for damages or costs of any kind that may result from use of such information.

Restricted Rights: Use, duplication, or disclosure by the Government is subject to restrictions as set forth in FAR52.227-14 and DFAR252.227-7013 et seq. or its successor.

Modeling for Stimulus Generation EZ-Start Guide

The procedures described in this document are deliberately broad and generic. Your specific design might require procedures that are slightly different from those described here. However, most of the concepts described in this document are valid for various application domains.

About EZ-Start Guides

EZ-Start guides are provided by Cadence Design Systems as entry point tutorials for various technologies. EZ-Start guides are meant to quickly review high-level concepts of a specific technology, and allow the user to independently experience and explore it. For a deeper understanding of how to architect a quality verification environment, consult a Cadence methodology specialist or refer to the *Plan-to-Closure Methodology User Guide*.

Introduction

In general, there are three essential components to efficient verification: stimulus generation, coverage, and checking. Cadence Design Systems, Inc. provides companion EZ-Start packages for each of these three areas. In this EZ-Start package, you will learn how to model data items for stimulus generation.

A typical verification process (as illustrated below) involves repeatedly getting a device into a specific state, applying stimulus to the design, and then checking the results of the simulation.

Figure 1 Verification Process



Given the size and complexity of today's designs, the verification process introduces several challenges surrounding stimulus generation:

How do you identify every corner-case state?

How do you target the simulation for both internal and external scenarios? How do you uncover bugs that are buried within the design?

This document describes how, by using SystemVerilog constructs, you can model your data items so that they address each of these challenges.

Note: You can apply the concepts covered in this document to different domains. For example, you can use these concepts for data-com where you have packets, cells, and frames; for graphical devices, where you generate register operations for different configurations; or, for CPU verification where you need to generate instructions and CPU interrupts.

Coverage-Driven Verification (CDV)

Coverage-driven verification (CDV) is a Cadence methodology that enables efficient verification. In general, CDV involves:

Random generation Self checking Metrics to track progress

The following rules incorporate the three aspects of CDV listed above. These rules are crucial in creating an implementation strategy that results in productive verification.

Organize your verification goals right away. These goals can include a combination of functional code and assertion coverage. Organizing these plans upfront ensures that your designs are verified quickly and thoroughly, and lets you track the verification progress until verification convergence.

The testbench must be smart enough to automatically generate legal stimuli. In such a testbench, the various tests are layered on top of the infrastructure and touch only specific areas. This results in more focused testing, thus making the tests shorter and easier to write, read, and maintain.

The infrastructure must allow for the creation of fully-directed tests that explicitly describe the injected stimuli and random tests. The level of randomization is a function of the defined constraints.

Do not overconstrain your tests. Your tests must be as random as possible, so that the generation engine is free to explore all values without any biased assumptions. Raising the level of randomization in your tests can help find unanticipated bugs.

Verification must start with random tests. Limit directed tests to cover only the remaining untested functionality. Automatic test generation decreases the amount of time spent creating tests manually.

The following figure illustrates a typical CDV flow, and gives the general process.





1. Create a coverage model that is based on a verification plan.

2. Start with a few highly-random scenarios.

3. Adjust constraints and add new sequences. Target unvisited coverage holes.

4. Refine and enhance your coverage model.

Note: This figure gives just an overview of the CDV process. For more information on CDV and the Cadence tools that enable this process, refer to the *Plan-to-Closure Methodology User Guide*.

Modeling Stimuli Data Items

When modeling interaction within a testbench, you should be working at the transaction level. Working at this level has the following advantages:

- 1. Many tests involve transaction-level control. For example, a test can call for two consecutive packets that are sent to the same address.
- 2. Transactions hide a lot of protocol-specific details and allow you to control a scenario, while reducing the knowledge required to create tests.
- 3. Transactions can encapsulate assumptions and attribute interdependencies.

Note: Since the term *transaction* is broad, this document refers to generated traffic as *data items*. Data items include: packets, cells, frames for data-com devices, instructions or interrupts for CPU verification, and bus transfers or bursts for modeling buses. The following sections discuss how to model data items using SystemVerilog classes and constraints.

Examples 1-5 are also provided in ASCII form. To run these examples, open the instruction.sv file located in the /examples directory provided with this document.

Using Classes when Modeling Data Items

SystemVerilog introduces a new class construct, which is used in object-oriented (OO) programming. A class is a user-defined data type that can encapsulate data members, methods, and other properties. The collection of the data members and class properties define the functionality and characteristics of an object.

The following is an example of a CPU instruction that consists of an opcode and two operands. The first operand is a CPU register value, and the second is a byte.

Example 1 CPU Instruction Example

```
1 package instruction_pkg; // Package encapsulates user-defined types
2
3
  typedef enum {REG0, REG1, REG2, REG3} register_e;
4
  typedef enum {ADD, ADDI, SUB, SUBI, JMP, JMPC, CALL, RETURN}opcode_e;
5
6 class instruction c;
7
      rand opcode_e opcode;
      rand register_e operand1;
8
9
      rand byte operand2;
10
      function void do_print(); // Encapsulates data and functionality
11
         $display("Instruction: opcode=%s, register=%s, operand2=%h",
12
13
                   opcode.name(), operand1.name(), operand2);
14
      endfunction
15
16 endclass: instruction c
17
18 endpackage: instruction_pkg
In this example:
Line 1
                    Uses a package called instruction pkg to encapsulate the
                    user-defined types. Packages avoid name-space cluttering and
                    enable reuse.
Lines 3 and 4
                    Uses enumerated data types to group and name items. Enumerated
                    types make the code easier to read and to debug.
```

Note: This document uses the $*_e$ naming convention for enumerated data types and $*_c$ for classes.

Lines 7 to 9 Uses the rand keyword to declare the instruction attributes as

random variables.

Line 11 Implements a service function called do_print() for the class data items. This function will print the class data.

Now that we have our class defined, we can use this definition to generate our desired number of instructions. The following code generates one hundred random CPU instructions.

Example 2 Generating CPU Instructions

```
import instruction_pkg::*;
1
2
3
   program test;
4
      instruction_c cur_instruction;
5
6
      initial
7
          begin
8
          cur_instruction = new;
9
          for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
10
              begin
11
                  assert(cur_instruction.randomize());
                  cur_instruction.do_print();
12
13
              end
14
          end
15 endprogram
```

In this example:

Line 1	Imports the package defined in Example 1 in order to access the package's attributes and declarations.
Line 3	Uses a program block to encapsulate our test information.
Line 4	Before you can use the class defined in Example 1, you need to create a variable using that class as its data type. This line creates a variable called cur_instruction, which is a handle to class instance instruction_c.
Line 8	Before you can use the variable cur_instruction, it must be initialized. This line uses the new function to initialize the variable cur_instruction to an instance of class instruction_c.
Line 11	Uses the built-in randomize() method to generate random values for all active random variables within an object. The randomize()

method returns 1 if it successfully assigns all of the random variables within an object to a valid value. Otherwise, it returns 0. This line also uses the assert construct to ensure that the correct values are assigned to the generated instruction.

Using Constraints when Modeling Data Items

Modeling real data items in real protocol rarely involves pure random attribute generation. There are dependencies between fields that make some data items illegal. For example, to make a legal cell, its checksum must be equal to an arithmetic calculation of its other fields. To capture such dependencies, you can use constraints. A constraint describes a property of a field and directs a solver, which can be embedded in a simulator, to process the constraint and choose a value that satisfies the properties of the constraint.

This section provides short examples for the following types of constraints:

Specification constraints—Constraints derived from a specification that defines the legal data items.

Default and preference constraints—Constraints that specify that a particular combination of legal values occur more than others. For example, you might have a default distribution of 95% legal packets and 5% illegal packets. You can override this default specification using tests, if you need to meet a certain verification goal.

Test constraints—Constraints that are layered on top of an existing environment in order to steer the generation towards unexplored areas.

Specification Constraints

For example, a specification indicates that "JMP and JPMC must use the value REG0 as their branching address." The following illustrates the implementation of this specification.

Example 3 Specification Constraint

```
class instruction_c;
  rand opcode_e opcode;
  rand register_e operand1;
  rand byte operand2;
  rand inst_kind_e kind;
```

//Spec: JMP and JMPC should use the address from REG0
constraint jmp_reg0 {opcode inside {JMP, JMPC} -> operand1 == REG0;}

endclass: instruction_c

Note: Specification constraints can be overridden during testing. Overriding constraints is useful in cases where the specification describes the expected behavior for corrupted input or to test device recovery.

Default and Preference Constraints

For efficient verification, you can create constraints that emulate regular traffic behavior or specify how you want to distribute traffic. For example, the typical traffic for an Ethernet usually contains only a few erroneous packets. The following implements this type of behavior. Specifically, the following code specifies that CALL and RETURN opcodes occur less often than other opcodes.

Example 4 Default and Preference Constraints

Test Constraints

When you are modeling data items, it is uselful to add extra control fields that provide a user-friendly interface. *Control fields* are class attributes that are not a part of the physical data time, but can simplify test creation and are useful for coverage. For example, a packet might have a control field that determines if a cyclic redundant check (CRC) result is legal. Although this Boolean field is not sent to the design under test (DUT), this field can help test writers specify how legal and illegal packets should be distributed—without having to know the underlying packet implementation. Control fields can help make tests shorter and easier to write and understand.

For example, the following is taken from specification and functional coverage planning:

"There are two types of instructions, arithmetic and flow control. The user will most likely want only one type of instructuion in a given scenario. How can the developer environment assist the test writer to iteratively achieve this preference?"

To address this, a new type is added to the instruction package and is then used in the class to differentiate between the different instruction types.

Example 5 Control Fields

```
package instruction pkg;
. . .
// New control field for instruction kind
typedef enum {ARITHMETIC, FLOW_CONTROL, ... } inst_kind_e;
class instruction_c;
   rand opcode_e opcode;
   rand register_e operand1;
   rand byte operand2;
   rand inst_kind_e kind; // Not part of the physical instruction data
   constraint kind knob {
     (kind == ARITHMETIC) -> opcode inside {ADDI, ADD, SUB, SUBI};
     (kind == FLOW_CONTROL) -> opcode inside {JMP, JMPC, CALL, RETURN};
   }
      // Other instruction class definitions
. . .
endclass: instruction c
. . .
endpackage: instruction_pkg
```

Now, the test writer can add a constraint that calls for only arithmetic instructions or that further specifies the distribution.

Modeling for Stimuli Generation Lab

In this section, you will use the concepts discussed in the previous sections to model a data item.

You should be using IUS version 05.81 p002 or higher. To verify that you are using the correct version of the simulator, use the following command:

% ncverilog -version

You should see a message similar to the following:

TOOL: ncverilog 05.70-p002

You can go to <u>http://downloads.cadence.com/</u> to find and download the latest IUS release.

About the Data Item (BTM Cell)

You will be modeling a data item called a BTM cell. The BTM cell has 53 bytes:

The first byte is for the header of the cell.

- The first two bits of the header contain the cell type (this can be data, control or reserved).
- The next six bits of the header contain the random address.

The next 51 bytes are for data or payload.

The last byte is a parity byte that contains a parity calculation of the first 52 bytes.

The following figure illustrates the structure of the BTM cell.

Figure 3 BTM Cell Structure



Modeling the BTM Cell

This section walks you through the steps necessary to model this BTM cell.

To model this BTM cell:

- 1. Create a file and give it an .sv extension. For example, btm.sv.
- 2. Within the btm.sv file, define a package that contains:
 - a. An enumeration data type that captures the following data types: DATA, CONTROL, and RESERVED.

- b. A class called <code>btm_cell_c</code> . This class has the following fields:
 - Enumerated cell types
 - O A six-bit address
 - O 408-bit data
 - A parity byte

Note: All of these fields must be randomized. Therefore, tag all of these fields with the rand keyword.

- c. A do_print() void function to that prints the cell's type, address, and parity fields.
- 3. Import the package definition to the global scope.
- 4. Define a program that allocates one cell.
- 5. Using a loop, randomize the values and call the do_print() void function for ten cells.
- 6. Save the file.
- 7. Compile the file.

Use the following command to compile and run SystemVerilog code using batch mode:

% ncverilog +sv btm.sv

Or, use the following command to compile and run IUS in GUI mode:

% ncverilog +sv +gui btm.sv

The solution for this exercise is in the exercise1.sv file of the /solutions directory, which was provided with this document.

Constraining the BTM Cell

Now that you have modeled the structure of the BTM cell, you need to add the following constraints:

A constraint that avoids the RESERVED cell type.

Note: Your constraint should not specify default reserved cell type.

A constraint that constrains the value of the address so that it is byte aligned.

A constraint that constrains the last two bits of the address such that they are zero.

The solution for this exercise is in the exercise2.sv file of the /solutions directory, which was provided with this document.