Achieving Lower Test Pattern Count Through Deterministic Test Point Insertion

Andrew Ferko Kenneth Pichamuthu Prakash Venkitaraman* IBM Microelectronics

*Now working at AMD Bangalore

Problem definition - Hard to test

Increased density and complexity of logic Increase in test cost and volume Increase in test generation time Test guality could suffer in the trade-off

Definition of terms

Focal fault

Care bits

Measure bits

 RRFA (Random (pattern) Resistant Fault Analysis)

Current solutions

Most discussed solutions improve pseudorandom testability =>higher coverage
Better ATPG => Lesser Pattern Count
Compatibility of the cost function used by the TPI algorithms and the ATPG engine
Controllability and observability indices when there is reconvergent fanout

The Test Generation process

- Tests for focal faults merged to create patterns – care bits just 2% of total bits!!!
- Most bits filled with latch-fill – random first and repeat later.



Test Generation data – what we can learn from it?

Measure bits – observability issue?
Logic cones that need to be broken up?



Stim bits

Controllability issue
Trace forward, add control points
Cannot add too many control points

Iterations - flowchart



List of hard-to-test focal faults

Feed back to the designers

Simulate patterns that test them early

Results ...

2.1 M instances, 115K latches
2 passes of observe point insertion 69 test points and then 210
1 pass of control point insertion 22 control points

Comparison with RRFA-only test point insertion

... Results ...

Experiment	Pattern count	Coverage %	Reduction
Original	77K	99.75	-
69 observe points	64K	99.76	17%
210 observe points	60K	99.76	22%
22 control points	41K	99.76	47%
RRFA alone	65K	99.76	16%

... Results

Caretaker



More results

IM instances

- Total of 423 observe points added over 3 passes
- Pattern count went down from 39K to 30K
 a reduction of 23%

No control points added

Future work

 Better method of identifying nodes to insert test points

Quicker way to determine coverage increase for each test point added